

**Tooele City Council and the
Tooele City Redevelopment Agency of Tooele City, Utah
Work Session Meeting Minutes**

Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018
Time: 5:00 p.m.
Place: Tooele City Hall, Large Conference Room
90 North Main St., Tooele, Utah

City Council Members Present:

Chairman Steve Pruden
Dave McCall
Scott Wardle
Brad Pratt
Melodi Gochis

City Employees Present:

Mayor Debbie Winn
Glenn Caldwell, Finance Director
Michelle Pitt, Recorder
Roger Baker, City Attorney
Rachelle Custer, City Planner
Brian Roth, Parks and Recreation Director
Paul Hansen, City Engineer

Excused: Jim Bolser, Community Development and Public Works Director

Minutes prepared by Michelle Pitt

1. Open Meeting

Chairman Pruden called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Steve Pruden, Present
Dave McCall, Present
Brad Pratt, Present
Scott Wardle, Arrived at 5:22 p.m.
Melodi Gochis, Arrived at 5:02 p.m.

Chairman Pruden informed the Council that they were all invited to the Tooele Technology College Graduation. Chairman Pruden stated that Ms. Pitt had provided a calendar of parks, arts council and library summer events for the Council and Mayor.

Council Member Gochis joined the meeting.

Chairman Pruden amended the agenda as follows:

3. Discussion:

- Castagno Place Preliminary Plan – A 7.54 Acre 23 Lot Single Family Residential Subdivision Located at Approximately 1090 North 640 East
Presented by Rachelle Custer

Ms. Custer said that the Castagno subdivision is a request to divide about 7 acres located at 1090 North 690 East. The applicant is requesting the subdivision to allow for 20 single family residential lots. The applicant intends to build this in one phase, but may build it in three phases depending on the market. It is zoned R1-8 and the lots range from 10,000-13,000 square feet, which is well within the required range. Ms. Custer stated that the parcel in front of this proposed subdivision belongs to the LDS Church. Ms. Custer added that the Council would discuss the preliminary plat request at their business meeting later tonight, and see this matter back for final approval. Chairman Pruden felt this would be a good use of an infill lot.

Mr. Hansen said that the LDS Church accommodated some right-of-way to allow an access road for this subdivision.

- Porters Place Subdivision Plat – A 1.43 Acre 3 Lot Residential Subdivision to be Located at Approximately 630 S Coleman
Presented by Rachelle Custer

Ms. Custer stated that this is another infill piece. Ms. Custer explained that this is a request for a 1.43 acre subdivision at 632 South Coleman. The developer wishes to subdivide to allow three residential, duplex lots. The lots would be 14,000 to 33,000 square feet. There is a large piece attached to Lot 1, to the west. The developers are hoping to acquire that piece of property to also develop. Ms. Custer went on to say that this matter will be before the Council for final approval of the plat at their business meeting tonight. Mr. Hansen added that if the adjacent land were to be acquired by the developer, and the excess property on Lot 1 were to be amended to become part of the adjacent development, then the City would credit the associated water rights.

4. Close Meeting to Discuss Litigation and Property Acquisition

Council Member McCall moved to close the meeting. Council Member Gochis seconded the motion. The vote was as follows: Council Member McCall “Aye,” Council Member Pratt “Aye,” Council Member Gochis “Aye,” and Chairman Pruden “Aye.”

Those in attendance during the closed session were: Mayor Debbie Winn, Glenn Caldwell, Roger Baker, Paul Hansen, Michelle Pitt, Jim Bolser, Brian Roth, Rachelle Custer, Council Member Wardle (joined the meeting during the closed session), Council Member Pratt, Council Member McCall, Council Member Gochis, Chairman Pruden, Mike Nelson, and Dan Nelson from Highland Commercial.

The meeting closed at 5:06 p.m.

No minutes were taken on these items.

Council Member Pratt moved to reopen the meeting. Council Member Gochis seconded the motion. The vote was as follows: Council Member Wardle “Aye,” Council Member McCall “Aye,” Council Member Pratt “Aye,” Council Member Gochis “Aye,” and Chairman Pruden “Aye.”

The meeting reopened at 5:34 p.m.

- Sensitive Overlay
Presented by Chairman Steve Pruden

Chairman Pruden explained that the City has had some requests regarding sensitive overlay districts and dead end streets and odd lots. Some of these lots are on the North and South sides of Utah Avenue on 1000 West. The Council had asked Staff to think about what could be done on these issues for tonight’s discussion. Chairman Pruden said that he would like thoughts on how to allow infill or modify the sensitive overlay, but to also keep the City’s best interests in mind. Mr. Baker said that he had given the Mayor and Council his opinion on the sensitive overlay in an email, which he then distributed to the Mayor and Council. His opinion is attorney-client privilege and should not be considered public information.

Chairman Pruden asked if there was a desire for modification to the City Code regarding these issues. Council Member Wardle said that he felt that the City was on the front end of a study for both the infill lots and the sensitive overlay. He said he didn’t want to get in the same position as Salt Lake City. He said he felt the City needs to take care of infill lots, and has done a good job so far, but the City now needs to figure out how to fix some of the areas in the City and how to allow development or redevelopment on certain lots. He said that when exceptions are allowed, it can cause problems. Council Member Wardle went on to say that he wouldn’t lift the sensitive overlay on all areas. He expressed concern about allowing a similar situation as what happened in North Salt Lake, with landslides.

Council Member Pratt said that he relied heavily on employees who have expertise in these matters. He agreed that a study should be done. He said that Tooele City is not a farming community anymore and is encountering unique situations. The City needed to be open minded on how to fix these things. Council Member Pratt added that there were engineering issues on the sensitive overlay situation. He said there was not a blanket fix for everything. He felt that each lot may need to be dealt with as a one-at-a-time situation. He would like to have the City be able to consider all options and to find solutions, but not forfeit the safety of those living there or live around the area.

Council Member Gochis agreed that a study was necessary. She said that the opportunity to build helped to beautify the City. She also expressed concern about what happened in North Salt

Lake because the residents came back to the City and needed help. She said that she wanted more information before rendering a decision.

Council Member McCall indicated the he drove up to look at the sensitive overlay area. He said that developers said that they would not cut much in to the hill. In North Salt Lake, they cut in to the hill. He agrees that a study needed to be done. Council Member McCall added that if the City wanted to limit anything in that area, they could put something above the development to catch and defer anything so that it wouldn't come down on the homes. The City shouldn't have to bear the cost of putting something like that in, the developer could put it in. He said he would like the road finished in that area, but was torn on whether to lift the overlay.

Chairman Pruden asked Staff and Council if it was possible to modify the sensitive overlay to allow a developer to develop without destroying the area that the City wants to preserve for open space. Mr. Hansen said it was possible. Mr. Hansen said that the City met with the realtor and their client to discuss their specific needs in order to help determine what, if anything, needed to be changed to the existing Code. He said that the City needed more information such as how many units the developers were looking at putting in, and information about utilities. Mr. Hansen went on to say that they hadn't received that information back yet. Mr. Hansen said that the ordinance limits the amount of cut into existing topography and a certain amount of fill that can be placed. The development plans which have been provided to date exceed those allowances. Staff is trying to work with the developers to determine if they can modify their plans to meet current Code. Mr. Hansen felt it may not be necessary to lift everything in the overlay area, and emphasized he didn't recommend lifting everything. Mr. Hansen said that mud slides and rock slides had been mentioned, but there were also hazards related to fire and earthquakes to consider. He said that there are reasons that the Council created the sensitive areas. It is appropriate to look at those reasons. Mr. Hansen went on to say that to be fair to the developers, Staff needed to receive more information about their development to see if it was a matter of modifying the cut and fill limits by two feet, for example, or whether it would require more. It becomes site specific.

Council Member Wardle asked how Staff came up with the conclusions of the cuts and slopes, and asked if it was it a safety standard, or if it was a standard that the City developed. He added that the City has allowed homes in foothills and alot of homes on slopes. He said that those homes could be in more danger than those in this area, and asked if this area was an issue just because it is in a sensitive overlay. Mr. Baker said that in 1992 there wasn't science built in to the ordinance. Council Member Wardle said that he would want science built in to it. Mr. Hansen indicated that Mr. Warr's next step should be to specify the items that the developers cannot comply with, and to indicate whether their design could be modified to comply. If there are specific items that can't be modified, then those specific items can be addressed. Mr. Warr indicated that they have two preliminary drawings out to the developers. They are trying to make sure their costs are covered and trying to determine how many lots they need to make it work. Once that is determined, they can determine where each house needs to be placed and see what they need to talk about.

Council Member Wardle reiterated that the City needs science on this Code. He added that the building standards in 1992 was very different from what it is now, and the Code may need to be

changed. Chairman Pruden said that he saw this as a dual track: (1)Mr. Warr needed to see if they could make their development fit the overlay, or if they can't fit, let Staff know what part of the Code needed to be modified to make it fit, or what part of the design needed to be modified to make it fit; (2)Staff needed to look at the Code to see if it needs to be modified to meet current needs.

Mr. Baker said that during the discussion two weeks ago it was suggested to the Council that the City lift the overlay from this property in its entirety and leave it to its base zoning (referring to materials from the April 4 work meeting labeled "Layout of Lots in the Base R-1-7 Zone Without the Overlay"). He stated that it was alarming to him. He said that the intensity of the development doesn't offend him, but it was the safety of the development wanting to be built in to cut slopes that was alarming to him. The City doesn't want to lift the overlay completely. Mr. Baker said that he supported the idea of examining the developer's needs vs. the restrictions of City Code to see if a balance can be found for all concerned. Chairman Pruden said that the Code was written and is a little broad. Things have changed over the years, and things may need to be modified. Ms. Custer said that she is concerned about lifting the sensitive overlay. She said that none of the three fires that occurred last year were caused by humans. Now they are asked to add people in this area. Chairman Pruden said that they are talking about being judicious and creating a balance. Mr. Hansen said that unfortunately, just because someone owns land, doesn't mean that it's developable to the full levels that they would like when taking into consideration zoning and life/health considerations. The Code allows the City to most fairly and equitably see what needs to be done. Chairman Pruden reiterated that there are two tracks here: the developer will meet with Staff, and at the same time, the City will address the Code to see what tweaks need to be made.

Council Member McCall said that he felt that the developer and the City needed to make sure to look at preventive measures for a mud slide, fire, and rock slide. He said he didn't want the City to go out of their way to accommodate and then end up getting sued because an issue wasn't addressed. He felt this should be a joint effort, and that the developer should share the cost to limit the dangers in that area. Council Member McCall said it could be as simple as adding large sprinklers in the back to help put out fire.

Ms. Custer indicated that Staff has been working on this for some time. She said that the lot sizes are large. One benefit to large lot sizes is to help deflect if something comes down the hill, and it helps to preserve the open space. She asked for direction from the Council about their priority in keeping those lots large. She asked if it was to help with safety, or to preserve the open space. Chairman Pruden said that in his opinion the safety issue outweighs the aesthetics. Council Member Wardle said that the neighbors in the area may feel differently about the lot size, and that they may be more concerned about aesthetics.

Mr. Hansen stated that Staff and Council have talked about lot size and slopes and how houses looked on various slopes. He reminded the Council of when Mr. Bolser gave a presentation and provided pictures of homes on different slopes. He asked if the Council would like to have that discussion again. Chairman Pruden said that he wanted to talk about the Code again with Staff's recommendation on whether the Code needed to be changed regarding sensitive overlay to facilitate the requests that come in. Council Member Wardle asked that Staff try to do

everything they can to expedite this matter for this development. Mr. Hansen said that to evaluate the life/safety risk, the City would need to consult with professionals such as licensed geologists, geotechnical, fire, and range land professionals. These issues are beyond his scope of expertise and licenses, which would result in the need for increased budget. Chairman Pruden said that it would be fair to make developers aware that they may need to help with those costs. Mr. Hansen said he could find out what those costs would be and bring that proposal to the Council. Council Member Wardle said that the City might need to do a reimbursement expense because the City wouldn't address this issue if developers weren't asking. He added that the additional engineering may allow developers to build more units.

Mr. Baker said he wanted to make a statement for the record regarding aesthetics vs. safely engineered housing: As time goes on engineers, together with money, can design more and more structures that can be built safely in to hillsides. If a City has a sensitive area overlay ordinance based only on safety, they are very much at risk at having a court rule that ordinance unconstitutional because you can engineer around it. A court will uphold an ordinance based in aesthetics. Aesthetics are a legitimate governable interest. The City can't discount aesthetics in policy decisions because they are one of the footholds that you can get in legality. They are one of the reasons that the City has worked so hard to preserve those properties in the first place. Mr. Baker cautioned that the City needs to preserve both aesthetics and safety.

- Infill, Odd Lots, and Dead End Streets
Presented by Chairman Steve Pruden

Chairman Pruden said that a property owner, Mr. Brown, would like to build on property near his family on 1000 West. The Code says that he needs to install curb, gutter and sidewalk when he develops his property. Mr. Brown is asking if it was possible for a variance for his development. Chairman Pruden added that 1000 West is in bad shape. There aren't a lot of potholes, but it is a broken street. Mr. Baker asked if there was other curb, gutter or sidewalk on the west side of the street now. Mr. Leonelli, the newest house on that street, does not have curb, gutter and sidewalk, but was constructed under a deferral agreement. Mr. Baker added that the current ordinance does not allow a deferral for a house. Council Member Wardle asked if that could be written in. Mr. Baker cautioned that if it is written in, property owners along Vine Street and other places would all be asking for that for each of their properties. He said that it may be lawful to identify why this street is different from all the other streets, then the City could do a site specific designation. Some of the reasons it is different is because it is rural zoning, it is a dead end, and there are no other improvements on the street.

Mr. Hansen reminded the Council that according to the City's Transportation Element, Tooele Boulevard, which begins in the Copper Canyon Subdivision south of 1000 North, is intended to run parallel to the east side of the Union Pacific railroad track and connect to the north side of 1000 West. Council Member Wardle asked Mr. Brown if he would be willing to sign a deferral agreement saying that he would put in curb, gutter and sidewalk if that road were developed in the future. Mr. Brown indicated that he would. Ms. Custer said that Mr. Brown had entered into a deferral agreement at one time for the subdivision, but now that he is ready to build, the Code does not allow it. Council Member Wardle asked how the City could do that.

Chairman Pruden said that the City needed to figure out what needed to be done with the lots that don't fit the Code. Council Member Gochis asked what the potential of the road was, where it was a dead end street, and there weren't any improvements there now. She felt it wouldn't be a problem to not put the improvements in now. Mr. Hansen said that there was a potential for large subdivisions to be put in, and that would drive the rest of the street improvements to be constructed.

Council Member McCall stated that they needed to make sure that the setbacks were enough that homeowners wouldn't walk right out on the street when the street was widened and asked if that was addressed when the plat was approved. Mr. Hansen said that setbacks are not recorded on plats, but are regulated by Code. If the Council changes the setback, developers would have to comply with whatever was in effect at that time.

Council Member Wardle said he didn't want to spot zone but wanted to create consistency. He said the City has to figure out a way to allow this type of development until that area really develops. Chairman Pruden said he would like to empower the Staff to address these issues as they pop up so that every situation doesn't have to come to the Council. Chairman Pruden indicated that a developer, Mr. Killpack said that he knows of several developers that are warming up to make proposals in Newtown. If that happened, the City would have to face more of these issues down the road.

Council Member Wardle felt it was a good idea to have Staff work out a solution, then to bring it to the Council so that these types of infill can be handled. Chairman Pruden asked Staff to bring a proposal back to the Council so that it could legislatively be fixed. Mr. Hansen said that Staff would come with recommendations. He asked the Council to let them know if there are situations that they would not entertain.

Council Member McCall said that if they were to widen 1000 West, would the City need to get easements or rights-of-way. He added that as it was right now a fire truck can't turn around. Mr. Hansen answered that the fire department is using driveways as a way to turn around. Mr. Baker said that if adjacent properties sell and start developing, at that time it would be a requirement to put in curb, gutter and sidewalk on the east side of the road, and at the same time the City could do a special improvement district on the west side of the road. The City would front the money, place an assessment on the properties, and require homeowners to pay the City back over time. Mr. Brown indicated that he understood that and agreed to that. Council Member McCall appreciated the summary for Mr. Brown.

Mr. Brown asked what time frame he was looking at. Chairman Pruden said that Staff would come to the Council with a recommendations on how to fix Mr. Brown's situation. Mr. Hansen said that these same questions came up on Vine Street. He said that the City is slowly getting curb and gutter there. Mr. Baker said that Mr. Brown was probably looking at about two to three months. There are public hearings required. Council Member Wardle recommended getting drafts to the Council by email, with a copy to the Mayor to help expedite the issue.

Chairman Pruden said he would like to see Ms. Custer's recommendation on what scenarios could work for these property owners. He said he would like to look at all the scenarios, and

then make sure the City is on firm ground. The City may need to think out of the box, otherwise the City is going to have a bunch of weedy lots. Chairman Pruden went on to say that if someone wanted to bring something new, the City would like to entertain those ideas. Chairman Pruden said that Ms. Custer would be the liaison on this project.

Council Member McCall said that over time more and more people will want to put a home on the back of 150 West. He felt the City should have a plan on how to address each and every one, and how they could subdivide. He felt the City needed to be prepared for those requests as well.

Council Member Wardle suggested putting a working group together to help address these issues. He suggested that the Council and Mayor talk about appointing members to this group in their retreat on Saturday. Ms. Custer stated that the state legislature passed a law saying that Staff can approve subdivision lots. Ms. Custer added that it's the City Code that required the subdivisions to come to Council. If Code could allow Staff to approve minor subdivisions, it could alleviate the Council's need to discuss them all. Chairman Pruden said that administration should never second guess the educated, specialized Staff members who have the expertise. Chairman Pruden said that when Mr. Brown came with his request, he met with Staff first. Staff quoted him Chapter and verse of the Code, telling him what he wanted to do wasn't allowed. Mr. Brown then came to the Mayor and Chairman to ask if there was a way to allow him to do his subdivision. Chairman Pruden said he will always defer to Staff, so he wants to enable staff to be able to approve minor subdivisions so that they can expedite things.

Council Member Wardle expressed appreciation to Staff for bringing solutions instead of "nos". He said that if a situation doesn't meet the Code right now, or may require a change in Code, that Staff help the Council by coming with suggestions for a Code change. Mayor Winn said that Mr. Bolser has been given that direction when people request a Code change. Ms. Custer indicated that there is a fee of approximately \$1500 to request a Code change, but if people come before the Council for a discussion, there is no charge. Chairman Pruden said that those applicants shouldn't have to pay up front. He asked that Staff give his phone number out so that people can have the option of discussing things with the Council. Ms. Custer indicated that she would email the Council when there are requests and the Council can decide if they want it on the agenda.

Council Member Pratt said that Council needed to make decisions with Staff's expertise. Council Member Wardle wanted Staff to know that when they look at problems with them, they would prefer to be on the front end of the problems instead of the back end. He didn't want Staff's mentality to be "no," but instead to look at possibilities and solutions. He also assured Staff that they were safe to discuss issues. Chairman Pruden said that going forward, Staff didn't need to feel threatened from the Council.

Chairman Pruden said that the Council will discuss and decide who will be on the working committee to see what they can collaboratively come up with for suggested changes to the Code. Chairman Pruden stated that once the Council is informed, they can make a fair decision by speaking with Staff and the applicant. He committed that the Council will be humble enough to listen to Staff's input.

- Purchasing Policy
Presented by Michelle Pitt

Ms. Pitt explained that she and Staff have been working on updating the City's Purchasing Policy. It needs to be reviewed and approved by the department heads and then will be presented with an Ordinance to the Council to consider for approval. Ms. Pitt had provided the policy to the Council electronically and asked the members to review it and provide comments to her.

Council Member Pratt moved to recess the meeting to reconvene after the business meeting. Council Member McCall seconded the motion. The vote was as follows: Council Member Wardle "Aye," Council Member McCall "Aye," Council Member Pratt "Aye," Council Member Gochis "Aye," and Chairman Pruden "Aye."

The meeting recessed at 6:50 p.m.

Council Member Wardle moved to reconvene the meeting. Council Member McCall seconded the motion. The vote was as follows: Council Member Wardle "Aye," Council Member McCall "Aye," Council Member Pratt "Aye," Council Member Gochis "Aye," and Chairman Pruden "Aye."

The meeting reconvened at 9:02 p.m.

- South Droubay Road Extension
Presented by Paul Hansen

Mr. Hansen indicated that the City's master plan calls for an extension of Droubay Road from Skyline to Vine Street. In 2007 the City retained Ryan Hales with Hales Engineering, to look at City streets, specifically what to do with Droubay Road between the golf course and the extension between Vine Street and Skyline. Mr. Hales recommended that the City should extend it. The recommendation said that if the City were to annex additional property or change density, the City would need another outlet such as Droubay Road to Vine Street. Jack Walters and Travis Sutherland are developers who own property between Vine Street and Skyline Drive and the need to extend the road. They asked if the City would entertain a current study, if they could find a traffic engineer to do it. The City said they would. The City requested them to get Ryan Hales to do it, so there weren't competing traffic engineers involved. They provided a study dated August of 2017. There were some fatal mistakes found in the study by one of Ryan's engineers, in that it did not include the annexation potential. If the adjacent land that currently lies in unincorporated Tooele County were to be a developed with densities similar to surrounding neighborhoods, the resulting traffic could cause the 200 East/Skyline Drive intersections to operate at LOS F. An "F" rating is the worst rating. Mr. Hansen said that a recommendation of the revised traffic study would be that the extension of the road be conditional upon annexation of additional land. Council Member Pratt asked if the City hadn't already annexed some additional land in that area. Mr. Hansen said that it had, with the Arbshay annexation. The City has already encroached in to that to some degree. Walters and Sutherland don't think that they should have to pay the full cost.

Mr. Hansen pointed out a high pressure gas line that currently lies along the eastern boundary of the subject property, and showed the Council where it was located on the map in reference to Droubay Road and the subject property. Mr. Hansen said that he wanted to make sure, to the allowed potential that this gas line didn't end up in someone's rear lot for life/health and safety reasons. He would rather it be in a road with the rest of the utilities. The gas line is very shallow, only about five feet deep. The Master Plan suggested that the road sweep down to take advantage of the terrain, and to tie in to the road to the west of the Moose Lodge. Mr. Hansen indicated that he has spoken to the administrator at the Moose Lodge about the interest of making the property available for an extension of the road. The administrator was agreeable to that. Mr. Baker has sent it out for appraisal, and will see where the appraisal process is at. Mr. Walters and Sutherland suggested many scenarios for the road, with most options not on their own property because it is so narrow. They also recommended that the road put down in the ravine along the west side of their property. Mr. Hansen said that he has looked at the ravine option. He said there was an advantage to having the road in the ravine, because it would have a slope on one side and would have less impact to the surrounding property. Mr. Hansen provided a concept for the ravine location of the road. Mr. Hansen suggested presenting the option to the developers to dedicate and set aside that road and the required slope easements so that the road could be built. When the City considers annexation and settles water issues, a condition could be that whoever annexes put the road in and bear that cost. Council Member Wardle said that his question or concern was that the interior lots would spill out on the road, and have only one inlet from that development. Mr. Hansen agreed that it would be a challenge. He talked about the traffic that the developments would cause to the roadways. Mr. Hansen showed some possible scenarios of options that could work. Council Member Pratt had a question of grade. Mr. Hansen answered that there is a standard of maximum grade slope. Mr. Hansen said that City Code outlines slope and grade based upon roadway classification, and said that this segment would be classified as a minor collector allowing it to go up to 10%. Council Member Pratt said that this would be a solution of not having to relocate the gas line. Mr. Hansen said that some decisions are very hard that need be made by the City. The City can't always find a way for developers to develop all of their property. Mr. Hansen said this option would create a few double frontage lots with the adjacent existing development at Vine Street, which would require a wall to be put up when the road is constructed. The Council felt this would be the only option. It's the only way the road worked with elevation, slope and the gas line. Mr. Baker added that even without the gas line this option was less expensive than other options.

5. Council Reports and Summer Event Schedules

This item was tabled.

6. Close Meeting to Discuss Litigation and Property Acquisition

Council Member Wardle moved to close the meeting. Council Member Pratt seconded the motion. The vote was as follows: Council Member Wardle "Aye," Council Member McCall "Aye," Council Member Pratt "Aye," Council Member Gochis "Aye," and Chairman Pruden "Aye."

Those in attendance during the closed session were: Mayor Debbie Winn, Glenn Caldwell, Roger Baker, Paul Hansen, Michelle Pitt, Council Member Wardle, Council Member Pratt, Council Member McCall, Council Member Gochis, and Chairman Pruden.

The meeting closed at 9:29 p.m.

Staff was excused from the meeting at 9:50 p.m.

No minutes were taken on these items.

7. Adjourn

Council Member McCall moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Gochis seconded the motion. The vote was as follows: Council Member Wardle “Aye,” Council Member McCall “Aye,” Council Member Pratt “Aye,” Council Member Gochis “Aye,” and Chairman Pruden “Aye.”

The meeting adjourned at 10:34 p.m.

The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a verbatim transcription of the meeting. These minutes are a brief overview of what occurred at the meeting.

Approved this 2nd day of May, 2018

Steve Pruden, Tooele City Council Chair